

U.S. District Court  
Western District of Louisiana  
Robert H. Shemwell, Clerk

RECEIVED

Date: 11-14-06By M. Cassanova

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

**HAROLD A. JACKSON**

Defendant

**ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL**CASE NO. **06-5202M-01**

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

**Part I - Findings of Fact**

- ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
- ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3145(a)(4).
- ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
- ☐ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ a felony was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
- ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state, or local offense.
- ☐ (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).
- ☐ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

**Alternative Findings (A)****[X] (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense**

- [X] for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in The Controlled Substances Act.**
- ☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).

- ☐ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

**Alternative Findings (B)****[X] (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.****[X] (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.****Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention**

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence that \_\_\_\_\_

**The evidence shows there is probable cause that Defendant was transporting 34 pounds of powder cocaine in a vehicle, and he did not rebut the presumption in favor of detention. While he has some ties to the Dallas area, Defendant has no ties to the charging district. He has an extensive criminal history, including at least two prior felonies. He was on bond on other charges at the time of the arrest in this case. Even without the presumption, Defendant's circumstances and the evidence shows that he is a risk of flight and a danger to the community.**

**Part III - Directions Regarding Detention**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: **November 14, 2006**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MARK L. HORNSBY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE